Diagnosis and therapy in severe disorders of consciousness

A. Bender

Therapiezentrum Burgau, Germany

Defining the spectrum and challenges in diagnosis

In the domain of Disorders of Consciousness (DoC), a continuum delineates various stages ranging from coma, to emergence from the Minimally Conscious State (MCS). Coma, characterized by lack of wakefulness (eyes closed) and awareness, stands in contrast to Unresponsive Wakefulness Syndrome (UWS), wherein wakefulness increases while no signs of awareness are detectable. Progression along this continuum may lead to Minimally Conscious States (MCS), typified by wakefulness and varying degrees awareness and command following, ultimately culminating in emergence from DoC, marked by functional communication and functional object use [1, 2].

However, the diagnosis of DoC presents challenges, with alarmingly high rates of misdiagnosis for MCS, ranging up to 40 % of all cases [3, 4]. The Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRS_R) emerges as a recommended assessment tool to alleviate this concern. Furthermore, the dynamic nature of DoC necessitates frequent evaluations (at least 5 times within a 2-week period), as patients may swiftly transition between states of consciousness and unconsciousness [2]. Diagnostic challenges are particularly pronounced in MCS patients lacking motor responses, a phenomenon known as Cognitive-Motor Dissociation or Covert Consciousness [5]. Therefore, EEG-based methodologies, fMRI, FDG-PET-CT, and other physiological parameters are indispensable for identifying such patients, as this subgroup may comprise up to 15% of UWS cases [2]. Despite technological advancements in diagnosis, straightforward approaches such as assessing olfactory reactions and blink rate, as well as newer techniques like monitoring heart rate or pupil dynamics, hold promise as simple diagnostic methods.

Evidence-based therapies

Advancing beyond diagnosis, evidence-based therapies are pivotal in the management of patients with Disorders of Consciousness. According to the Curing Coma Campaign Survey, pharmacological interventions such as Amantadine and Modafinil are frequently employed in treatment regimens [6]. Surprisingly, steroids and non-pharmacological modalities such as transcranial magnetic and deep brain stimulation are also utilized in DoC therapy. According to a recent German, evidence-based treatment guideline, treatment strategies for DoC patients include reducing sedative agents, initiating trials with Amantadine and Zolpidem (up to three single doses on different days), and implementing interventions like vertical positioning and sensory stimulation, including music therapy [7]. Particularly for MCS patients, techniques such as transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) targeting the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and exploration of vagus nerve stimulation hold promise.

Conclusion

In conclusion, understanding the spectrum of DoC is essential for accurate diagnosis and effective management. By integrating advanced diagnostic techniques and evidence-based therapies, we can enhance patient outcomes and improve their quality of life.

References:

1. Giacino JT, Katz DI, Schiff ND, et al. Practice guideline update recommendations summary: Disorders of consciousness: Report of the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology; the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine; and the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research. Neurology 2018; 91: 450–60
2. Kondziella D, Bender A, Diserens K, et al. European Academy of Neurology guideline on the diagnosis of coma and other disorders of consciousness. Eur J Neurol 2020; 27: 741–56
3. Wang J, Hu X, Hu Z, Sun Z, Laureys S, Di H. The misdiagnosis of prolonged disorders of consciousness by a clinical consensus compared with repeated coma-recovery scale-revised assessment. BMC Neurol 2020; 20: 343.
4. Schnakers C, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Giacino J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the vegetative and minimally conscious state: clinical consensus versus standardized neurobehavioral assessment. BMC Neurol 2009; 9: 35.
5. Schiff ND: Cognitive Motor Dissociation Following Severe Brain Injuries. JAMA neurology 2015; 72: 1413–5
6. Helbok R, Rass V, Beghi E, Bodien YG, Citerio G, Giacino JT, Kondziella D, Mayer SA, Menon D, Sharshar T, Stevens RD, Ulmer H, Venkatasubba Rao CP, Vespa P, McNett M, Frontera J; Curing Coma Campaign and its Contributing Members. The Curing Coma Campaign International Survey on Coma Epidemiology, Evaluation, and Therapy (COME TOGETHER). Neurocrit Care 2022 Aug; 37(1): 47–59.
7. Bender A, Eifert B, Rubi-Fessen I, Jox RJ, Maurer-Karattup P, Müller F. The Neurological Rehabilitation of Adults With Coma and Disorders of Consciousness. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2023 Sep 15; 120(37): 605–12

The presentation has been transcribed by Alexander Hinz.